

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.umpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/091,394	03/07/2002	Atsushi Yokouchi	Q68888		
	90 02/28/2003				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER		
			JOHNSON, JERRY D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1764		

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	A 5-3
	•				
Office Action Summary		10/091,39		YOKOUCHI ET AI	L.
	•	Examiner		Art Unit	
1	The MAILING DATE of this commun	Jerry D. Jo		1764	Idence
Period for F	Reply	roduon appouro on an	COVER SHEEL WILL GIE C	Offespondence au	aress
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ins of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply specified above, the maximum step reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at a tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the state attractory period will apply and will by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. mmunication.
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on			
		2b) This action is	non-final.		
3) S cl Disposition	ince this application is in condition osed in accordance with the practi of Claims	for allowance exceptice under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	for formal matters, pro Layle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the a	application.			
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from cor	sideration.		
5)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.				
7)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∏ Cla Application	aim(s) are subject to restrict Papers	tion and/or election re	quirement.		
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) The	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Aş	pplicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) I	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The	proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)☐ ap	proved b) disapprov	ed by the Examine	r.
	approved, corrected drawings are requ		ce action.		
	oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠ Ack	knowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).	
	ll b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1.[Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been	received.		
	Certified copies of the priority d			n No. 09/254,172	
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna	f the priority documer	nts have been received	I in this National S	
	he attached detailed Office action				
	owledgment is made of a claim for				application).
a) ∐ 15)∭ Ackn	The translation of the foreign lang owledgment is made of a claim for	juage provisional app r domestic priority und	lication has been recei der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 ε	ived. and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice of D	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) =	Interview Summary (I 5) Notice of Informal Pa 5) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-) ·152)

Application/Control Nur r: 10/091,394

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naka et al. in view of Heimann et al.

On page 1 of the specification, under the heading <u>Technical Field</u>, applicants disclose

This invention relates to a <u>rolling bearing</u> and particularly a rolling bearing which is used under such a condition that water may seep in the lubricant or the bearing is affected by high temperature, high-speed rotation or vibrations <u>and is suitable</u> to electric parts and accessories of an automobile engine such as an alternator. (Emphasis added).

Column 1, lines 5-20 of Naka et al., U.S. Patent 5,728,659, teach

The present invention relates to a grease composition for <u>rolling bearings</u>. More particularly, it relates to a grease composition employed for rolling bearings in <u>electrical components and accessory devices for automotive vehicles, such as alternators</u>, electromagnetic clutches for car air conditions, idle pulleys, electric fan motors, or the like. (Emphasis added).

Naka et al., U.S. Patent 5,728,659, teach a grease composition for a rolling bearing comprising 10 to 60 parts by weight of a mixture of diurea compounds as a thickener based on 100 parts by weight of a base oil (column 2, lines 13-44). The base oil used in the grease is not particularly limited, and any oil used as a base oil for a lubricating oil may be used (column 5, lines 9-11). Base oils having a kinematic viscosity of preferably 40 to 400 mm²/s, more preferably 60 to 250 mm²/s, most preferably 80 to 150 mm²/s at 40°C is preferred (column 5, lines 11-18). The grease composition may optionally contain publicly known additives in order to further improve

Application/Control Number: 10/091,394

Art Unit: 1764

its properties (column 7, lines 31-33). These additives may be used alone or as a combination of two or more kinds. The amount of the additives to be added is no particularly limited, but usually not more than 20% by weight of the grease composition (column 7, lines 42-47). Naka et al. differ from the instant claims in not teaching the addition of a pH adjustor.

Heimann et al., U.S. Patent 6,010,984, teach lubricant and grease compositions which imparts corrosion and microbial resistance, and a high dropping point (column 2, lines 12-15). The pH of the grease can be tailored to be compatible with the metal surface which is contacted with the grease or gel (column 5, lines 59-60). The grease will typically have a pH that ranges from about 7 to about 14 (column 6, lines 2-3). The addition of conventional additives is taught in column 8, lines 5+.

A person having ordinary skill in the art, armed with the disclosure of Heimann et al., would have found it obvious to add a pH adjustor to the grease composition of Naka et al. in order to adjust the pH to "about 7 to about 14" and tailor the grease to be compatible with the metal surface which is contacted with the grease with a reasonable expectation of enhancing the corrosion resistance of said composition.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 is rendered indefinite by the recitation "or a derivative thereof."

Application/Control Number: 10/091,394

Art Unit: 1764

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/254,172. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry D. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2515. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Caldarola can be reached on (703) 308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,394

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jerry D. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

JDJ February 27, 2003